UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,551	03/17/2006	Ake Rosen	0104-0554PUS1	5075
	7590 12/29/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH VA 22040 0747	PASCUA, JES F		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3782		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provisions of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Jes F. Pascua pears on the cover sheet with the of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the cover sheet with a sheet she	3782 correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirk will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDONE	Correspondence address (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STREET SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se cion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/10/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,603,433 to Gautier et al. and French Patent No. 2 529 528 to Gautier (hereafter Gautier '528).

Gautier et al. discloses the claimed invention except that the intermediate boundary line (4) is rectilinear (see column 2, lines 19-24) instead of concaved. Gautier '528 shows that an intermediate boundary line with a concave curvature (see Fig. 4) is an equivalent structure known in the art of container blanks. Therefore, because these two intermediate boundary lines were art-recognized equivalents at the time the

invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the rectilinear, intermediate boundary line of Gautier et al. for the intermediate boundary line with a concave curvature of Gautier '528.

Page 3

Furthermore, the front boundary line and the modified intermediate boundary line of Gautier et al. along the longitudinal axis of the container blank would inherently give the central portion of Gautier et al. an essentially symmetrical, frustoconical shape.

Regarding claim 4, Gautier et al. discloses the secondary cavity (3) may be closed (see column 2, line 40), which meets the recitation "the handle portion comprises a handle-forming duct means" and is capable of being filled with a gas. The functional recitation "intended for gas filling" imparts no structure to the "handle-forming duct means", since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Gautier et al. discloses an upper corner (7) extending and tapering towards the interior of the container, which meets the recitation "duct means" and is capable of being filled. The functional recitation "intended for filling" imparts no structure to the "duct means", since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Regarding claim 8, Gautier et al. discloses an upper corner (7) being removable to pour contents from the container, which meets the recitation "spout-like duct means".

Art Unit: 3782

Regarding claim 5, Gautier et al. and Gautier '528 disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the bottom portion and the central portion together, in a container made of the container blank, define a volume corresponding to at least 80% of the volume intended for the container. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to dimension the bottom and central portions of the modified Gautier et al. container blank such that they define a volume corresponding to at least 80% of the volume intended for the container, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art absent any showing of critical and unexpected result.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Gautier et al. and Gautier '528 disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the spout-like duct means (i.e., upper corner (7)) having an end portion with a tear initiation and being wholly or partially delimited from the rest of the upper corner by a zone weakened by thinning of material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the end portion of the spout-like duct means of the modified container blank of Gautier et al. with a tear initiation and a zone weakened by thinning of material, since it was known in the art that a tear initiation and a zone weakened by thinning of material in the end portion of spout-like duct means facilitates manual separation of the end portion from the rest of the spout-like duct means.

Art Unit: 3782

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 714.02 and MPEP 2163.06. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Art Unit: 3782

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782